

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 14906 of 2019

Date of Decision : 29.05.2019

Sneh Lata

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Ms. Riti Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that she had spent Rs. 2,83,184/- upon her treatment but the medical bills, which have been submitted by the petitioner, have not been approved so far and, therefore, no amount has been reimbursed to the petitioner upto now, without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has made representation dated 27.04.2019 (Annexure P-1) to the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the relief claimed in the present writ petition or in the representation, the present writ petition is

disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 27.04.2019 (Annexure P-1) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case after the order, it is found by the respondents that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be released to her within a period of next three months.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

May 29, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*