

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24913 of 2019

Date of decision: September 05, 2019

Sonu @ Ghuggi @ Agyapal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in FIR No.97 dated 28.08.2017, under Sections 323, 324, 341, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran.

Allegation against the petitioner is that he along with co-accused formed unlawful assembly and gave a *datar* blow from its blunt side which hit left bicep of the complainant.

On 29.05.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that injuries, attributed to the petitioner, are simple in nature. Further contends that petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion for 16.08.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the

investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him stated that in terms of order dated 29.05.2019, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 29.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

September 05, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No