

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-3562-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.05.2019

Rakesh Prasher

...Petitioner

Versus

Pawan Kumar Prasher and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. A.P. Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

This revision petition is directed against order dated 07.05.2019, passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Chandigarh, vide which, she had allowed the request of counsel for defendants for re-examination of DW1. Learned trial Court has allowed the request with the following observations:-

“After having arguments, it is a settled principle of law that the very purpose of re-examination is to explain the matter which has been brought down in cross examination. The Ld. Counsel for the defendants by re-examining DW1 on three aspects wants to bring the clear picture before the court whether DW1 is referring to his affidavit or Will. No prejudice would be caused to the plaintiff if re-examination is allowed as one opportunity would also be given to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff for cross examining DW1 on the three points, if need so arises.”

I do not find the impugned order to be perverse or having been passed in an arbitrary manner against the settled principles of law,

which might have called for interference by this Court, while exercising revisional jurisdiction. Thus, finding no merit in the revision petition, the same stands dismissed.

28.05.2019

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No