

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3561 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.05.2019

Daljit Singh Pathania and others

-Petitioners

Vs

Vandna Sharma

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. S.S. Rangi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. By referring to some photographs attached with the revision petition, learned counsel submits that the passage has already been carved out and the same is partially operational.
2. 30.05.2019 is the date fixed before the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Mukerian in the application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC.
3. By referring to site plan, learned counsel further submits that Khasra No.225 is jointly owned and possessed by the parties and the impugned order has virtually diminished the prospects of crusher industry of the defendants-petitioners.
4. This revision petition can be disposed of at this stage by giving liberty to the petitioners to address arguments on the

date fixed i.e. 30.05.2019 for vacation of interim order dated 06.05.2019 passed by Civil Judge (Junior Division), Mukerian. In the event of doing so, the trial Court shall make every endeavour to decide the application on merits on the date fixed or soon thereafter within three days in accordance with law.

5. Disposed of.

28.05.2019
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No