

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-24926 of 2019

Date of Decision: July 23, 2019

Gurjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Jagjot Singh Lalli, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 30 dated 07.05.2019 under Sections 452, 323, 506 and 34 of IPC registered at Police Station Ladhuwal, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 29.05.2019 whereby the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the only role attributed to the petitioner is a brick blow above the right eye of the complainant. He further contends that there is a delay of two days in registration of the FIR.

Notice of motion for 23.07.2019.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join investigation and appear before the Investigation Agency as and when required. On doing so, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of

Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall comply with the terms and conditions as stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Jatinder does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel on instructions from ASI Jatinder further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 29.05.2019 is made absolute.

However, it is made clear that this order shall remain operative till the filing of the final report under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

July 23, 2019
renubala

[MANOJ BAJAJ]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No