

215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24895 of 2019

Date of decision: October 16, 2019

Jasmit

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.251 dated 17.05.2019, under Sections 21(A) & 27(A) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

On 29.05.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that neither the petitioner is named in the FIR; nor any recovery has been effected from her, rather the alleged contraband of 2 grams of Heroin was recovered from co-accused Suraj @ Damru. Further contends that petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion for 16.08.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join

the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of her arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit her to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ranjeet Singh, has stated that in terms of order dated 29.05.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 29.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 16, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No