

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.15887 of 2011

Date of Decision: 11.03.2019

Jagdish Chander Narang and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: For the petitioners:-

Mr. Sandeep Panwar, Advocate;
Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate;
Mr. S.C. Nagpal, Advocate;
Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate,
and Mr. R.S. Dadwal, Advocate.

For the State of Haryana:-

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

1. This order will dispose of the above mentioned writ petition as well as the connected writ petitions tabulated at the foot of the order* (numbering 25 cases) as they are on common ground.

2. Heard.

3. These petitions have to succeed with the dismissal of the Special Leave Petition in *State of Haryana and others v. K.L. Kumar and others* (SLP No.39474-39475 of 2013) on October 12, 2018 attaching finality to the Division Bench judgment and order of this Court in LPA No.930 of 2010, *State of Haryana and another v. K.L. Kumar and others* decided on August 10, 2010 and the dismissal of the review application therein on August 07, 2013.

4. The front runner or lead petition settling the dispute according to learned counsel for the petitioners was the Division Bench ruling in LPA No.1008 of 2010 in *State of Haryana and others v. S.P. Sood* decided on October 12, 2010. The SLP in connected matters dismissed in 2018 to which detailed reference is not necessary since the State of Haryana is in the process of implementing the judgment of the Supreme Court and its Finance Department has already issued instructions to the various departments where the judgment has to be implemented to send cases along with data for decision making.

5. In view of this, the grievances of the petitioners are on the way to being redressed in due course of time which is around the corner.

6. The aftermath of the litigation is that the petitioners and other similarly situated employees who fall within top 20% of the respective cadres become entitled to the running pay scale of ₹13500-17250 w.e.f. January 01, 1996. The rate of interest on arrears due will be applicable as directed in *State of Haryana and others v. S.P. Sood* i.e. at the rate of 8% per annum till payment. The monetary entitlements shall be computed and paid to the petitioners within five months from today and a status report on actual disbursements be submitted before the Registrar General of this Court for perusal. The petitioners are also held entitled to all other consequential benefits as may arise out of the judgment covering the case.

7. With the above observations and directions, the petitions stand disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

11.03.2019
manju

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Name
1.	CWP No.20686 of 2012	Som Kumar Gupta and another v. State of Haryana and others
2.	CWP No.21939 of 2012	Krishan Gopal Gupta and others v. State of Haryana and others
3.	CWP No.22678 of 2012	Balraj Krishan Ahluwalia v. State of Haryana and others
4.	CWP No.22775 of 2012	Rama Kant Sharma v. State of Haryana and others
5.	CWP No.22834 of 2012	Prem Chand Mittal v. State of Haryana and others
6.	CWP No.22909 of 2012	Ravi Shankar Garg v. State of Haryana and others
7.	CWP No.24241 of 2012	Sukhbir Singh Malik and others v. State of Haryana and others
8.	CWP No.24723 of 2012	Ram Dass Grover v. State of Haryana and others
9.	CWP No.25143 of 2012	Vinay Malik and another v. State of Haryana and others
10.	CWP No.25565 of 2012	Prithvi Raj Grover and others v. State of Haryana and others
11.	CWP No.4312 of 2013	Vijay Kumar Kapur v. State of Haryana and others
12.	CWP No.4331 of 2013	Shri Krishan v. State of Haryana and others
13.	CWP No.6250 of 2013	Raj Kumar Dewan and another v. State of Haryana and others
14.	CWP No.6379 of 2013	Krishan Kumar Goel v. State of Haryana and others
15.	CWP No.6387 of 2013	Subhash Chander Jindal v. State of Haryana and others
16.	CWP No.9340 of 2013	Mangat Ram Bansal v. State of Haryana and others
17.	CWP No.14327 of 2013	Ram Partap Bansal v. State of Haryana and others
18.	CWP No.21098 of 2013	Gopal Balwani v. State of Haryana and others
19.	CWP No.17567 of 2013	Jagdish Chander Mittal v. State of Haryana and others
20.	CWP No.25788 of 2013	Raj Kumar Garg v. State of Haryana and others

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Name
21.	CWP No.24525 of 2013	Krishan Parkash Garg and others v. State of Haryana and others
22.	CWP No.21896 of 2014	Sant Lal Aggarwal and others v. State of Haryana and others
23.	CWP No.22145 of 2014	Vijay Jain and another v. State of Haryana and others
24.	CWP No.32342 of 2018	S.K. Yadav and others v. State of Haryana and others

11.03.2019
manju

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No