

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-14813-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-29.05.2019**

Ombir Singh and others

...Petitioner

Vs.

National Highway Authority India and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Randhir Singh Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of direction to respondent Nos.1 and 2 to restrain from releasing the entire compensation amount to respondent Nos.3 & 4 and further to release the amount to the petitioners as per their share, being co-sharer/proprietor of the land in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the case of the petitioners is covered by the judgment dated 26.04.2018 (Annexure P-5) rendered in *CWP-16870-2017* titled as '*Bhawanjit Singh Vs. National Highway Authority of India and others*'. He further states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-District Revenue Officer -cum- Competent Authority (L.A.), District Mewat, to

consider and decide the case of the petitioners in the light of the judgment dated 26.04.2018 (Annexure P-5), expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG Haryana, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- District Revenue Officer-cum-Competent Authority (L.A.), District Mewat, to consider and decide whether the case of the petitioners is covered by the judgment dated 26.04.2018 (Annexure P-5) within six weeks from date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

29.05.2019

ps-I

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No