

**132 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-14818-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-29.05.2019**

Sandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of Mandamus directing respondent No.1 to decide the appeal dated 30.03.2018 (Annexure P-5) and application dated 03.07.2018 (Annexure P-6) for vacation of stay order dated 12.06.2018 (Annexure P-4) as respondent No.1 has stayed the operation of the order dated 26.03.2018 (Annexure P-1) vide which respondent No.3 has been removed from the post of Sarpanch on serious allegations.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1 decide the appeal preferred by respondent No.3, expeditiously.

Heard.

The petitioner is a respondent in Appeal No.29 of 2018 preferred by respondent No.3 against the order passed by respondent No.2, wherein order of interim stay was granted vide order dated 26.03.2018 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that thereafter, no effective hearing has taken place before respondent No.1.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to accord finality to Appeal No.29 of 2018 filed by respondent No.3 in accordance with law within four weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the prayer made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the prayer made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

29.05.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No