

111
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-3607-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.5.2019

Sudhir Petitioner

Versus

Rajpati and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

KULDIP SINGH, J.

Heard.

Challenge in the present revision petition is to the order dated 24.4.2019 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Charkhi Dadri whereby the evidence of the petitioner to produce documentary evidence was closed by order.

A perusal of the petition shows that a contempt application under Order 39 Rule 2A read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure was filed. In the said case, several opportunities were granted. Even, the last opportunity along with requisition of cost failed to convince the petitioner to conclude his documentary evidence but the same was closed by order.

In view of above, I do not find any illegality or infirmity in the impugned order dated 24.4.2019 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Charkhi Dadri.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

29.5.2019

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No