

254

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.2099 of 2019
Date of decision: 02.09.2019

Rakesh Bansal

.. Petitioner

Versus

Bharat Bhushan Gogia

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 11.11.2016 passed by this Court in CWP No. 23428 of 2016. The operative part of the order is reproduced below:

“After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by directing respondent No.3 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 14.1.2016 (Annexure P-3) followed by a representation dated 26.5.2016 (Annexure P-4), in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.”

A short reply by way of affidavit of Sonal Goel, Administrator,

HSVP, Faridabad, on behalf of respondents filed in Court today is taken on record. Along with reply, order dated 30.08.2019 has been annexed. A copy of the same is handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the order dated 30.08.2019 passed by the respondent, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against the said order.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

02.09.2019

hemlata

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No