

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

**CRM-M-24792-2019
Date of Order:29.05.2019**

DESH RAJ SINGH

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Petitioner claims to be a promoter of a real estate company. It has come on record that neither the company nor the petitioner was owner of the land which after plotting was agreed to be sold to various persons including payments.

In such situation, learned Additional Sessions Judge, has correctly held that the custodial interrogation of the accused-petitioner would be required to investigate the matter thoroughly.

Hence, no ground is made out to grant anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

**May 29, 2019
nt**

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No