

247

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**COCF No.2108 of 2019  
Date of Decision: 27.08.2019**

Krishan Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

Yash Garg, President-cum-Deputy Commissioner, District Red Cross  
Society, Rohtak and another

Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Ms. Sangita Dhanda, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Advocate  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):**

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 26.02.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No.20072 of 2015.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced below:-

*"12. Respondent-Red Cross Society is directed to regularize the services of the petitioner/grant regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of his co-plaintiffs in civil suit No.15 of 2010 have been granted the same benefit. However, the petitioner shall be entitled to 50% of the back-wages for the period he remained out of service with effect from the date of termination order dated 07.04.2015 (Annexure P-11).*

*13. Let the needful be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this*

*order.*

*14. Petition is allowed in the aforesaid terms.”*

Reply by way of affidavit of Devender Kumar Chahal, Secretary, District Red Cross Society, Rohtak on behalf of respondents has been filed today in the Court, same is taken on record. Copy of reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that there is partial compliance of the order and with regard to rest of the controversy, the respondents have preferred a Letters Patent Appeal [LPA], in which interim protection has been granted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that she may be permitted to withdraw the contempt petition with liberty to file afresh in case cause of action survives after decision of LPA.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

The rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]**  
**JUDGE**

**August 27, 2019**

*pankaj baweja*

|                               |   |          |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable         | : | Yes / No |