

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 24806 of 2019
Date of Decision:-31.10.2019**

Sunita Devi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present:- Mr. Jitender Dhanda, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Paramjit Jakhar, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 672 dated 06.11.2018, under Sections 323, 341, 379-A and 506 IPC read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Hisar. The petitioners apprehended their arrest at the hands of Police in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has invited the attention of

the Court to the order dated 29.5.2019 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the complainant, namely, Geeta Devi is sister-in-law of petitioners and dispute amongst them is already pending before the Civil Court. According to him the petitioners have been indicted by the complainant falsely.

Notice of motion for 31.10.2019.

Meanwhile, the petitioners shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioners submitted themselves before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioners cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Netar Pal does not dispute this fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation. Learned counsel on instructions from ASI Netar Pal further states that the petitioners are not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail

granted by this Court vide order dated 29.5.2019 is made absolute.

October 31, 2019
Vijay Asija

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No