

**134 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-14941-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-29.05.2019**

Mohinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vipul Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of Mandamus directing respondent No.2 to conclude the regular enquiry against respondent No.4 as directed vide order dated 12.03.2019 passed by respondent No.1 vide which the suspension order dated 12.02.2018 against respondent No.4 was set aside and he was reinstated as Sarpanch, while directing respondent No.2 to conclude the regular enquiry against respondent No.4.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Palwal to conclude the regular enquiry expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Deputy Commissioner, Palwal to consider and conclude the regular enquiry against respondent No.4 in accordance with law expeditiously from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the prayer made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him. However, in case the competent authority feels that the prayer made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

29.05.2019

ps-I

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No