

116.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-344-2019

Date of decision:29.05.2019.

RAJESH KUMAR

... Petitioner

versus

BEVI

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner-husband has filed the present revision petition against the order dated 01.03.2019 passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Gurdaspur, whereby on an application filed by the respondent-wife under Section 125 Cr.P.C., the learned Family Court has awarded interim maintenance @ Rs.5,000/- per month to the respondent from the date of presentation of the application i.e. 31.05.2017, along with the litigation expenses of Rs.10,000/-.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is a labourer. He is a patient of piles and is earning just Rs.3,500/- per month.

Heard.

Petitioner is a young man of 44 years of age and it is a prime age to earn, but the contention that he is earning just Rs.3,500/- per month, cannot be accepted. In the application filed by the respondent seeking interim maintenance, there is a specific averment that the petitioner is a

Travel Agent and running his business under the name and style of Tara Traveller, opposite Julka Institute, Qadian. He being working as a Travel Agent, it can hardly be believed that he is earning Rs.3,500/- as a labourer.

No ground for interference is made out, more particularly when the awarded maintenance is interim in nature.

Dismissed.

However, it is made clear that the expression made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the final adjudication.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

29.05.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.