

CRM-M-8314 of 2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8314 of 2017  
Date of Decision: 11.03.2019

Amit Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate,  
for Mr. Kulwant Singh, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Muthreja, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

**RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)**

Written request for adjournment has been circulated by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that he is out of station on account of marriage of a close relative. This request cannot be entertained in view of order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 07.02.2019 passed in ***Civil Appeal Nos.9142-9144 of 2010 (Ram Siromani Tripathi and others v. State of U.T. and others)***. Hence, the same is rejected.

Perusal of file shows that on the previous date also, written request for adjournment was circulated by learned counsel for the petitioner. Therefore, it can safely be inferred that petitioner or his counsel is no more interested in pursuing the instant petition.

CRM-M-8314 of 2017

Court to order dated 31.10.2017 contends that instant petition is not maintainable in view of the fact that petitioner has been given liberty to raise all the pleas, which he has raised in the instant petition at the time of framing of charge.

In view of above, petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

**March 11, 2019**  
R.S.

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |