

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.34266 of 2019  
Date of Decision : 25.11.2019.

Abhinav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia**

Present: Mr. Raje Ram Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.  
\*\*\*

**B.S.WALIA, J.** (Oral)

1. Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ of Certiorari to quash appointment of respondent No.3 as Associate Consultant (IEC) as made vide appointment letter Annexure P/3 dated 07.11.2012, on account of the same having been made without any advertisement, interview or adopting procedure recognized by law.
2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has served legal notice Annexure P/10 dated 29.06.2019 in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the writ petition but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim as made in legal notice Annexure P/10 dated 29.06.2019, in a time bound manner.

3. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim as made in legal notice Annexure P/10 dated 29.06.2019, in accordance with law, within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(B.S.WALIA)**  
**JUDGE**

25.11.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No