

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-24927-2019

Date of Decision:23.07.2019

Vinod Kumar Shukla

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.28 dated 26.02.2019 under Sections 376, 511, 323 IPC, registered at Police Station Tibba, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 26.02.2019 and the matter has been compromised between the parties. On the basis of compromise, the parties have approached this Court by way of **CRM-M-29603-2019 (Vinod Kumar Shukla Versus The State of Punjab and another)** seeking quashing of FIR in question.

Learned State Counsel does not dispute the custody period. However, he states that considering the fact that the petitioner is a doctor by profession and the nature of allegations, he is not entitled to bail.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 26.02.2019. Considering the fact that the matter has been compromised between the parties and the parties have approached this Court vide CRM-M-29603-2019 seeking quashing of FIR in question on the basis of compromise whereby the parties were directed to record their statements in support of the compromise, which is pending consideration before this Court and trial in the case is not likely to be concluded in the near future, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

July 23, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No