

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

CRM-M-54297-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision:29.03.2019

Pooja and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of a direction to the official respondents No.2 and 3 for protection of their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7 (who are parents, brother and uncle respectively of petitioner No.1), as they have married on 04.12.2018 against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned State counsel has produced the statements dated 14.01.2019 i.e. statement of Gurnam Singh, Member Panchayat, Dhianpur; joint statement of respectable persons of village Dhianpur and statement of Mamta, President Municipal Council, Jandiala Guru, Amritsar, wherein it is mentioned that the petitioners are not residing at the given address.

On 18.01.2019, learned counsel for the petitioners had sought time to seek instructions in this regard. Thereafter, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners. Apart from this, it was also

apprised by the learned counsel for the petitioners on 15.01.2019 that petitioner No.1 was taken away by her brother, who is presently residing with her parents. It appears that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing their petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

29.03.2019
sheetal

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No