

213.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24742-2019**

Date of decision:14.10.2019

KARAN SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

----

Present: Mr. Jagtar Singh Sidhu, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

----

**HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.16 dated 26.02.2019 registered under Sections 363/366-A of IPC at Police Station Bareta, District Mansa.

Vide order dated 29.05.2019, notice of motion was issued in the case and meanwhile, the petitioner was directed to surrender before the Arresting/Investigating Officer within 7 days and on doing so, he was directed to be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer and also to abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 29.05.2019 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation. He has argued that the petitioner and Sukhvir Kaur who is the daughter of the complainant are staying together as husband and wife.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Raghvir Singh, does not dispute the aforesaid fact, but states that the victim in the case was 17 ½ years of age and thus, minor.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of above, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 29.05.2019 is made absolute.

However, in case the petitioner is required in the case, the IO would be at liberty to call him for further investigation.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

14.10.2019  
sanjeev

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |