

209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54190-2018
Date of decision-04.02.2019

Pritam Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Karan Padam, Advocate for
Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab assisted by
ASI Baldev.

Mr. R.S.Dadwal, Advocate for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.300 dated 29.10.2018 registered under Sections 307, 506, 148 and 120-B read with Section 149 of Indian Penal Code and Sections 25, 27 and 59 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, Ludhiana.

On 15.01.2019, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the only attribution to the petitioners is that they caused injuries to Tarsem Singh.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant is unable to show any injury suffered by Tarsem Singh. On the other hand, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Shinder Pal has apprised the Court that there is no MLR in respect of injuries suffered by Tarsem Singh. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners will join the investigation as

and when required.

Adjourned to 04.02.2019.

Meanwhile, the petitioners shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in pursuance of the order dated 15.01.2019, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Baldev submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 15.01.2019, is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

04.02.2019

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No