

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(129)

CWP-15210-2019

Date of Decision: May 30, 2019

Shyam Lal

.. Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is against the order dated 31.07.2017 (Annexure P-7) whereby two annual increments of the petitioner were stopped without commutative effect. Against the said order, the petitioner had preferred an appeal Annexure P-8 on 26.09.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that for the last one and half years, the appeal filed by the petitioner has been kept pending and petitioner is suffering prejudice on the said action of the respondents as after retirement, petitioner is not getting the emoluments which he was entitled for keeping in view the order dated 31.07.2017 in respect of which the petitioner had preferred an appeal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the appeal Annexure P-8 by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the appeal (Annexure P-8), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the appeal (Annexure P-8) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

May 30, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No