

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16084 of 2019
Date of Decision : 31.05.2019

Purshottam Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is for interest on the delayed release of his retiral benefits.

The petitioner retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.07.2006. As per the counsel, the benefits were released to the petitioner amounting to ₹5,37,779/- on 29.10.2009 i.e. after a period of more than three years for which the petitioner is claiming interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 28.11.2018 (Annexure P-17) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the relief claimed in

the present writ petition or in the legal notice, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 28.11.2018 (Annexure P-17) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case after the order, it is found by the respondents that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be released to him within a period of next three months.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

May 31, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*