

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24818-2019

Date of Decision: 04.07.2019

Thomas

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate, for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the allegations against the petitioner include an offence punishable under Section 307 of the IPC, the matter has been settled between the petitioner and the complainant, who is his cousin.

He points to a compromise deed dated 25.03.2019 (copy Annexure P-5) in that context.

Mr. Munjal, learned counsel appearing for the complainant Krishan Lal and the other person stated to have been injured, i.e. Chaman Lal does not deny the factum of the compromise.

That being so and the fact that the petitioner also having remained in custody for about 03 months and 10 days, the petition is allowed and he is ordered to be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

July 04, 2019

nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.