

250

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COC-2170-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 11.09.2019

VISHAL BANSAL

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

AJOY SHARMA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 14.12.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No.31461 of 2018. The writ petition was disposed of with the direction to the respondents to consider and to decide the legal notice.

Pursuant to notice of motion, learned Senior counsel for the respondent has produced an order dated 29.08.2019 (as mentioned in forwarded letter).

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in view of the decision taken, an alternative site has been allotted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that though the decision has been taken with regard to legal notice as annexed as Annexure P-10 but the amendment made to the said notice vide Annexure P-14 (with the writ petition) has not been considered. He further

states that the said plot has neither been allotted nor physical possession has been taken by the petitioner.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of with direction to the respondent to pass a fresh and reasoned order considering the claims raised in Annexures P-10 and P-14 in accordance with law within six months from today. In case, petitioner had already taken possession of plot, the same would be given back henceforth to the respondent subject to the decision of legal notice.

11th September, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*