

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.53956 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.02.2019

Sahib @ Sukhjinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- None for the petitioner.

ASI Jatinder Pal Singh, Police Station Sadar, Kapurthala
for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.131 dated 23.10.2018 (**P-1**), under Sections 323, 341, 506, 379-B, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code (Section 326, IPC added later on) and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar, Kapurthala.

This Court, on the previous date of hearing i.e. 08.01.2019, passed the following order:-

“ Contends that alleged occurrence had taken place on 21.10.2018 and the present FIR was registered after two days i.e. on 23.10.2018 and that too by father of the injured.

Learned State counsel seeks time to verify as to whether the injured was fit to make the statement on 21.10.2018 or not.

On his request, adjourned to 12.02.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his

arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Today, ASI Jatinder Pal Singh submits that petitioner has joined the investigation in terms of order dated 08.01.2019 and his custodial interrogation is no longer required in this case.

In view of above, interim order dated 08.01.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

February 12, 2019

Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No