

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

257

CRM-M-24572(O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.09.2019

BALINDER @ TINKU

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. J.P. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Neelam Nehra, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.299, dated 29.12.2018, under Sections 147,149,307,323 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act, 1959 and Section 3(1) (xiv) of SC &ST Act, 1989, registered at Police Station Uklana, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR do not prima facie constitute the offence under SC &ST Act. The FIR has been lodged due to some misunderstanding between the parties which has now be resolved and the matter has been compromised. He has referred to the copy of compromise(Annexure P-3). The petitioner is in custody for over 8 ½ months since his arrest on 04.01.2019.

Learned counsel for the complainant states that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Daya Ram states that 3 out of 24 prosecution witnesses have been examined

Heard.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner is in custody for over 8 ½ months, the matter has been compromised and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

17.09.2019

rakesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No