

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

Criminal Misc. No.M-24588 of 2019

Date of decision : 01.07.2019

Prem

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Bijender Dhankhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.375, dated 15.08.2017, under Sections 302, 339, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sadar, Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that he was standing outside the liquor vend, when inside the liquor vend, his son Rohit and one Sandeep resident of Bamla, District Bhiwani, were attacking the deceased-Ved Pal. He also contends that except the said role that he was standing outside the liquor vend, there is no other allegation against the petitioner in the FIR. He also submits that a Coordinate Bench of this Court had earlier granted regular bail to the petitioner in CRM-M-7846 of 2018 on 03.04.2018 and as the petitioner could not appear before the trial Court on 21.12.2018 and 07.01.2019, his bail bonds were cancelled. He also contends that the petitioner had earlier been regularly

appearing before the trial Court and could not appear on the afore-noted dates as he was unwell and he had later on surrendered before the trial Court on 23.01.2019 and he is in custody since then. He also states that the petitioner undertakes henceforth to appear on each and every date before the trial Court and shall not absent himself without prior permission of the Court. The petitioner is also not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Suresh Kumar, states that although the challan has been presented but no witness has been examined so far.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the conclusion of the trial is likely to take sometime, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 01, 2019
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No