

CRM-M-7666 of 2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7666 of 2017
Date of Decision: 11.03.2019

Amit Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate,
for Mr. Kulwant Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Muthreja, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Written request for adjournment has been circulated by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that he is out of station on account of marriage of a close relative. This request cannot be entertained in view of order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 07.02.2019 passed in ***Civil Appeal Nos.9142-9144 of 2010 (Ram Siromani Tripathi and others v. State of U.T. and others)***. Hence, the same is rejected.

Perusal of file shows that on the previous date also, written request for adjournment was circulated by learned counsel for the petitioner. Therefore, it can safely be inferred that petitioner or his counsel is no more interested in pursuing the instant petition inasmuch as, as per learned

counsel for respondent No.2 charge has already been framed in this case

CRM-M-7666 of 2017

vide order dated 31.05.2018.

In view of above, petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

March 11, 2019
R.S.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No