

**CRM-M-53641-2018 and
CRM-M-55967-2018**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 11.04.2019

1. CRM-M-53641-2018

Reena Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-55967-2018

Gurdev Singh alias Debu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. A.S.Manaise, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-53641-2018.

Ms. Gurvir Kaur Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-55967-2018.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-53641-2018, filed by
petitioner-Reena Rani and CRM-M-55967-2018, filed by petitioner-
Gurdev Singh alias Debu, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal

**CRM-M-53641-2018 and
CRM-M-55967-2018**

Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.108 dated 08.08.2016, registered at Police Station Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka, under Sections 302, 404 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State has counsel appeared and contested both these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, was got registered by complainant-Chhinder Singh. As per the allegations in the FIR, Gurmita and Reena, sisters of the complainant, came running to his (complainant) house and told that three unknown persons had entered into their house, who were pressing the neck of their mother, Paramjit Kaur. During investigation, it was found that a wrong statement was given by Reena etc. The present petitioners have been nominated in the present case. It is the allegation that petitioner-Reena alongwith other co-accused committed the murder of her step mother. This is a case of circumstantial evidence.

The petitioners have been in custody for the last two years and eight months. They are not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as they are in judicial custody. The trial of the case may take a long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioners in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without

**CRM-M-53641-2018 and
CRM-M-55967-2018**

expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, both these criminal miscellaneous petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

11.04.2019
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No