

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.3619 of 2019

Date of Decision.29.05.2019

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs

Promila

..Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The present revision petition is directed against the impugned order whereby in divorce petition filed by the petitioner-husband against the respondent-wife in respect of marriage solemnized on 23.12.2007, husband has been directed to pay a sum of ₹6000/- each to minor children from the date of filing of the petition.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the respondent-wife is earning a sum of ₹60,000/- per month and after deduction carry home salary comes to ₹32,000/- whereas the husband is earning ₹55,000/- per month. Once both the spouses are earning, the responsibility of the children has to be shouldered by both the parents, thus, the maintenance awarded @₹6000/- to each of the children is not justified.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, appraised the paper book and of the view that the maintenance awarded @₹6000/- to each one of the children cannot be said to be onerous and on higher side as the husband's income is more than wife liability of the husband to maintain children is more than that of wife

in divorce petition filed in the year 2018 in respect of marriage solemnized in 2007.

In view of aforementioned facts, the impugned order cannot be said to be suffering from illegality and infirmity and the same is hereby upheld. The revision petition is dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

May 29, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No