

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.53602 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.02.2019**

Gurvir Singh @ Bobby

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Karan Padam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L.Pawar, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.183 dated 31.10.2018, under Section 15 (Act No.61 of 1985 dated 16th September, 1985) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Machhiwara, District Khanna.

This Court, on the previous date of hearing i.e. 18.12.2018, passed the following order:-

“ Learned State counsel contends that Mahinder Singh-grand father of the petitioner had named the petitioner in the disclosure statement made before the police.

Let the police verify the version given by Mahinder Singh and show on the next date of hearing what evidence is available against the petitioner.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal bonds to

the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to the compliance of conditions contained under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. List on 01.02.2019. ”

Today, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has joined the investigation in terms of aforesaid order and his custodial interrogation is no longer required.

The aforesaid factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from the police official present in the Court.

In view of above, interim order dated 18.12.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

February 01, 2019

Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No