

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP NO. 15913 OF 2010
DATE OF DECISION : 03.04.2019**

Sanjiv Kumar and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 16037 of 2011

Sushila and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 18451 of 2010

Rekha Rani and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 16778 of 2011

Dr. Shakuntla Chaudhary

...Petitioner

versus

B. P. S. Mahila Vishwavidyalaya Khanpur Kalan

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Vibhav Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioners in
CWP No. 15913 of 2010.

Mr. Y. P. Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioners in
CWP No. 16037 of 2011.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Dhankar, Advocate.

Mr. Ramesh Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner in
CWP No. 16778 of 2011.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Tribhuwan Dahiya, Advocate,
for respondent-University.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

1. Vide this common order, I intend to dispose of above mentioned four writ petitions, as common questions of law and facts are involved therein.
2. The lis in the present case is with regard to notional pay fixation of the petitioner(s), in view of the notification dated 30.10.2009 (Annexure P-9), issued pursuant to the recommendations of the Fourth Pay Commission.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that the impugned order dated 23.06.2010 (Annexure P-10) followed by subsequent order dated 30.06.2010 (Annexure P-11), pale into insignificance, in view of the clarification dated 31.10.2017 (Annexure P-15) issued by respondent No.4 during the pendency of the writ petitions, copy whereof has been placed on record as Annexure P-15 (colly.). According to him, the case of the petitioner(s), has to be decided afresh, in view of the subsequent order dated 31.10.2017.

4. I am in agreement with submission of the learned counsel for the respondents, as noted above. In the premise, the impugned orders passed in the present proceedings are set-aside, with liberty to the respondent-University to pass fresh orders, in view of the subsequent clarification dated 31.10.2017 (Annexure P-15), issued during the pendency of writ petition. Let the needful be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

6. All four writ petitions stand disposed of in the above said terms.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

APRIL 03, 2019
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No