

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53560 of 2018
Date of Decision: 08.05.2019

YogeshPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and ors ...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for directing the respondents to complete the investigation in FIR No. 44 dated 22.01.2017, under Sections 7, 10 and 55 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955, registered at Police Station Sadar Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

Learned State counsel has pointed out from the preliminary submissions No.1 of the reply that after investigation in the matter report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has been submitted on 14.01.2019 before the Court of competent jurisdiction against the following accused:

1. Rjesh Goel s/o Ram Avtar Goel resident of H.No. 115 Pocket II Sector-3, Rohani (Delhi-45).
2. Shekher Gupta son of Ram Kishan resident of Shop No. 47, Grain Market Bahadurgarh.
3. Ram Kishan son of Goverdhan Dass resident of 45-A Grain Market Bahadurgarh.
4. Kailash Chand son of Anand Sawroop resident of H.No.1206/1 green road, Ward No. 18 Shakti Nagar Rohtak.

In view of the above, no further directions are required to

be issued in the matter and the present petition is disposed off.

However, it is clarified that petitioner would be at liberty to raise all his pleas before learned trial Court at the appropriate stage(s).

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

May 08, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no