

113
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/05/2019 12:43
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-3528-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 27.5.2019

Saurabh Nangia Petitioner (s)

Versus

Ms. Priyanka Handa Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The short prayer in revision is under Article 227 of Constitution of India for directing Family Court, Gurugram, to decide case in expedient and time bound manner.

The learned counsel for petitioner has drawn attention of this Court to Section 21 B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, wherein cases under Family Courts have to be decided expeditiously. The learned counsel has also taken this Court through various interim orders where liberal adjournments were granted for filing written statement and for filing reply to application for interim maintenance. Now, case is fixed for evidence of petitioner. In this process, period of two years has already elapsed.

In these circumstances, revision is disposed of with a direction to trial Court reminding it to provision of Section 21 B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, and also to ensure that case is to be decided expeditiously, preferably by granting short adjournments to make up for delay already caused in completion of pleadings.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

27.5.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No