

101
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/1/2019 13:42
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-650-2019 in/and
CRM-M-53431-2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 14.1.2019

Iqbal Singh Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Advocate,
for applicant-petitioner.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

CRM-650-2019

Documents (Annexure-P-5 to Annexure-P-7) are taken on record.

Application is disposed of.

Main case

Heard.

Perusal of FIR in question as well as MLR shows that grievous injury covered under Section 326 IPC is attributed to petitioner. In this case, weapons of offence are yet to be recovered.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that it is a case of version and cross version. Present petitioner also received grievous injury on his forearm. In any case, police is investigating the matter. The fact remains that both parties received grievous injuries. It is not a case of undue hardship. There is no ground to grant anticipatory bail to petitioner. Therefore, petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.1.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No