

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53419-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 06.12.2019

Radha and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vikram Rathore, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikram Rana, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-37972-2019

Application is allowed.

Sonu son of Satyavan is impleaded as party petitioner No.5.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Main case

The petitioners have approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') invoking its inherent jurisdiction for quashing of FIR No.908 dated 17.08.2017 registered under Sections 147, 149, 323, 506 of the

Indian Penal Code and Section 3 of the Medical Service Persons and Medicare Service Institution (Prevention of Violence and Damage of Property) Act, 2008 at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

Notice of motion was issued.

In compliance of the order dated 03.12.2018 passed by this Court, the parties got their statements recorded before the learned trial court. Consequently, a report dated 02.02.2019 sent by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panipat has been received which is available on record of the case along with the statements of the parties. Learned Magistrate has reported that the parties have made their statements voluntarily and without any pressure. The compromise arrived at between the parties has been found to be a genuine one.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and after going through the record of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., so as to secure the ends of justice because the parties have arrived at an out of Court settlement by way of compromise. The compromise is without any pressure and a genuine one. In such a situation, continuation of the prosecution would result in sheer abuse of process of law.

For the aforesaid view, this Court finds support from

Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3)

RCR (Criminal) 1052, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**,

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned and to secure the ends of justice, FIR No.908 dated 17.08.2017 registered under Sections 147, 149, 323, 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3 of the Medical Service Persons and Medicare Service Institution (Prevention of Violence and Damage of Property) Act, 2008 at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are ordered to be quashed, on the basis of compromise, however, qua the petitioners only.

Resultantly, with the above-said observations made, the instant petition stands allowed.

06.12.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No