

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24338 of 2019
Date of Decision :- 30.05.2019**

Rashpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr.Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr.Dhruv Sihag, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.136 dated 20.03.2019, under Sections 384,389,506,120B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station DLF, Phase-III, Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there are no specific allegations against the present petitioner in the FIR, which has been annexed as **Annexure P-1**, except for the allegation that the present petitioner alongwith co-accused threatened the complainant to vacate the guest house, in case, Rs. 3-4 crores were not paid. He further submits that the petitioner is neither the owner of the said guest house where occurrence took place nor was in anyway involved in letting out the said guest house to the complainant. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 20.03.2019 and the trial will take considerable time to conclude.

The State counsel does not dispute the factual aspect of the case, as submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that it is a fit case for grant of regular bail. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, it is made clear that nothing observed herein shall be construed to be an expression on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

30.05.2019
rakesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No