

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53370-2018

Date of Decision:-01.04.2019.

Ramesh Mehta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Singh Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arpandeeep Narula, Advocate for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No. 995 dated 04.10.2018 under Sections 406/419/420/467/468/471 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Sirsa, District Sirsa.

On 03.12.2018, this Court had passed the following order :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that at the time of selling the car to the complainant, the vehicle was examined and upon satisfaction, the complainant, namely, Anil Kumar had agreed to purchase the car. Subsequently, the complaint has been filed on the ground that the vehicle was having a logo of Alto LX1 whereas infact it was not so. Learned counsel further contends that he is even ready to buy back his car

and return the amount so received from the complainant.

Notice of motion for 28.01.2019.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of the order dated 03.12.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions of ASI Mani Singh, P.S. City Sirsa submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 03.12.2018, is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

April 01, 2019.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.