

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24307 of 2019

Date of decision: September 20, 2019

Ravinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.37 dated 03.04.2019, under Sections 323, 324, 427, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 326 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Arniwala, District Fazilka.

As per prosecution case, petitioner along with others caused grievous injuries on the person of the complainant.

On 27.05.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that there is no allegation against the petitioner in the FIR and moreover, Section 326, IPC has been added later on. Further contends that petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion for 02.08.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Sub Inspector Baljeet Singh, has stated that in terms of order dated 27.05.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 27.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

September 20, 2019
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No