

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53246 of 2018
Date of Decision:22.01.2019

Mukesh Malhotra and others
Versus

....Petitioners

State of Punjab and others
....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG., Punjab.

Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for respondents No. 5 to 7..

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed praying for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and further prayer is that the petitioner be issued a prior notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. before calling to Police Station.

It has been pointed out by learned counsel for respondents No. 5 to 7 that one of the relative of the petitioners namely, Jyoti Malhotra also filed an identical matter bearing CRM-M-53841 of 2018 and the same was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 05.12.2018 with a direction to official respondents No. 1 to 5 not to summon the petitioner in Police Station without issuing notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. Further states that he has no objection in case the similar direction is issued in the present case. Even the

learned State counsel on instructions from the police official also agrees with the stand of respondents No. 5 to 7

In view of the above, present petition is disposed off with the directions to the respondents No. 2 and 3 that in case the presence of the petitioner is required for any inquiry or investigation, prior notice of 7 days under Section 160 Cr.P.C. be issued.

Ordered accordingly.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

January 22, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no