

CRR No.2825 of 2015,
CRR No.2940 of 2015 and
CRR No.3323 of 2015

--1--

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION:-07.03.2019

(1) CRR No.2825 of 2015,

RAJINDER PARSHAD

...PETITIONER...

V.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS...

(2) CRR No.2940 of 2015

VINOD KUMAR

...PETITIONER...

V.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS...

(3) CRR No.3323 of 2015

SHIV KUMAR

...PETITIONER...

V.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS...

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ashok Muthreja, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Through this judgment, three above titled revision petitions are
being disposed of against three separate judgments dated 23.07.2015 of

the trial court, whereby petitioner(s) have been summoned as an

additional accused to face trial under Section 306/34 IPC.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) producing photocopy of judgment dated 09.04.2018 of trial court, duly attested by him, contends that main accused Parveen Kumar has been acquitted by the trial court vide aforesaid judgment. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by separate trial of the petitioners, who were not challaned by the police and were summoned as additional accused upon the application of the complainant under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel does not controvert the above submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner(s).

In view of the above factual aspect, the instant petition and other connected petitions are accepted.

The separate impugned orders dated 23.07.2015 of the trial court challenged in three revisions titled above are set aside, whereby, the petitioner(s) have been summoned as additional accused.

07.03.2019
sonika

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned:
whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No