

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3483 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 24.5.2019

...

Dhanna Singh

.....Petitioner

vs.

Rajkumar

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Madaan

Present: Mr. Mayank Mathur, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

The defendant applicant – Dhanna Singh had moved an application under Order 9 Rule 13 C.P.C. for setting aside the ex parte order dated 6.5.2015 and ex parte judgment and decree dated 15.7.2015 passed in civil suit titled 'Raj Kumar vs. Dhanna Singh'

Notice of the application was issued to the respondent-plaintiff, who put in appearance and opposed the application. Issues on merits were framed. Parties were given opportunity to lead evidence. After hearing the arguments, vide order dated 9.4.2018, the said application was dismissed.

The applicant-defendant preferred an appeal against that order, which was also dismissed by Additional District Judge,

Sangrur, vide order dated 23.4.2019.

Applicant-defendant Dhanna Singh has now approached this Court by way of filing the present revision petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the revisionist besides going through the record.

The orders passed by both the Courts below are well reasoned, based upon proper appraisal and appreciation of evidence and correct interpretation of law. There is no illegality or infirmity therein, much less apparent on the face of such orders, which might have called for interference by this Court, while exercising the revisional jurisdiction.

Finding no merit in the revision petition, the same stands dismissed.

24.5.2019
chugh

(H.S. Madaan)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes / No

Whether reportable Yes / No