

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(145)

CWP-14380-2019

Date of Decision: May 27, 2019

Mohan Lal

.. Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shashi Kumar Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, no record as to when the petitioner had served with the respondents on work charge basis, has been attached so as to ascertain the entitlement of the petitioner for the grant of the benefits of the said service as a qualifying service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as and when the petitioner approach the respondents, no record is supplied to him for the service rendered and in support of the claim, the petitioner has attached service record i.e. Annexure P-1, which is the particulars of the petitioner taken from the website. From Annexure P-1, it is not clear as to whether, the petitioner has worked continuously from 01.06.1982 or not.

The factum of the service rendered can only be ascertained in case there is a certificate given by the respondents about the service rendered by the petitioner and petitioner could have easily got the same by making appropriate application in this regard. Admittedly the petitioner has not filed any RTI application with the respondents seeking the details of the service benefits so as to be presented before this Court to support his claim

for the grant of benefits of the said service while computing the pensionary benefits.

In the absence of any supporting record, no direction can be issued, at this stage.

The present writ petition stands dismissed.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

May 27, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No