

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 14068 of 2019

Decided on : 23.05.2019

Suman and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Tada, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 to 6.

It is stated in the petition that petitioners have solemnized their marriage against the will of private respondents No. 4 to 6 and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation dated 22.05.2019 (Annexure P-5) sent by the petitioners to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa.

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.

1 to 3.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, especially in respect of the marriage solemnized by the petitioners, a direction is issued to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa that in case the petitioners approach him for the grant of protection for their life and liberty as they are apprehending threats at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6, it may be ensured that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not compromised in any manner.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as an approval of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

May 23, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable ? *Yes/No*