

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24362 of 2019
Date of Decision: 24.09.2019

Dilshad

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, A.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

On 06.09.2019, the following order was passed:-

“Learned State counsel would take instructions as to whether any appeal has been filed against the acquittal of one of the accused named by the complainant as Saddam, as the learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the said Saddam has been acquitted, with the trial court, in paragraphs 36 to 39 of that judgment, having held that the disclosure statement of Saddam, as has been relied upon by the prosecution lacks credibility.

Adjourned to 24.09.2019, to enable the learned State counsel to take instructions.”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Hazir Khan, submits that no appeal has been filed against such acquittal.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual involvement of the petitioner, or otherwise, in the commission of the crime, the

petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

September 24, 2019
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.