

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-3822-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.07.2019

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Balbir Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

The grouse of the revisionist is that some of the vehicles which were subject matter of earlier suit were subject matter of the latter suit filed before Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Chandigarh and that an application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC was filed for rejection of the plaint for the said reason but the trial Court wrongly dismissed the same, vide impugned order dated 11.01.2019, leaving the defendant/revisionist aggrieved and it has filed the present revision petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the revisionist besides going the record and I find that there is no illegality or infirmity in the order under revision, much less apparent on the face of it, which might have called for interference by this Court, while exercising revisional jurisdiction. Thus, finding no merit in the revision petition, the same stands dismissed. However, it is clarified that this order shall not come in way of the revisionist, moving any other application under appropriate provisions of law, if permissible in that regard before the trial Court which may be disposed of in accordance with law.

01.07.2019

sumit.k

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No