

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 52862 of 2018
Date of Decision:-11.01.2019**

Netra Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present:- Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)

Netra Pal has filed this petition for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.302 dated 06.5.2018, under Sections 302 and 201 IPC, registered at Police Station Mujesar, District Faridabad.

On the basis of the statement of complainant Lal Sahib Singh, the aforesaid FIR was recorded wherein it was recorded that when the complainant was going from Sanjay Colony, Sector 23, Faridabad to Sarurpur, he spotted a dead body of a young female lying near Pulia in the Gandah Nullha having a strangulation mark on the neck. The complainant had given the particulars of the dead body.

After registration of the case, the investigation was conducted and it was found that the deceased was Rachna w/o Basant Kumar. The

statement of father of the deceased was also recorded, during investigation who had pointed out his suspicion towards Basant Kumar, husband of the deceased. During investigation evidence was collected, which included the C.D. (compact disk) to indict the petitioner as accused, who disposed off the dead body of Rachna in Gandah Nullha by using three wheeler (auto-rikshaw).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the investigation of the case is complete and there is no convincing evidence against the petitioner. It is also argued that the petitioner is in custody since 08.5.2018 and further detention of the petitioner is not justified.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail application on the ground that the offence is serious. He has pointed out that the petitioner had brought the three wheeler and utilised the same for disposing off the dead body of Rachna and in this regard CD from the toll barrier was also obtained. Learned counsel on instructions from ASI Rakesh Kumar also pointed out that out of total 24 prosecution witnesses, 15 have been examined.

Considering the above facts and the nature of the offence, particularly, the fact that trial is likely to conclude in near future, no ground for grant of bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

January 11, 2019
Vijay Asija

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No