

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(131)

CWP-14624-2019

Date of Decision: May 28, 2019

Usha Sharma

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Panwar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is entitled for fixation of his pay w.e.f. 01.11.1999 keeping in view the grant of notional promotion on the post of Sub-Divisional Engineer. Learned counsel for the petitioner in support of his arguments relies upon the order passed by this Court in **CWP No.18443 of 2014 titled as Girraj Prasad Bansal Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 13.02.2015**, wherein the benefit, which is being sought by the petitioner in the petition, was extended to the similarly situated employee by the State of Haryana itself.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is also entitled for the same benefit as extended by State of Haryana to the petitioner in **CWP No.18443 of 2014 titled as Girraj Prasad Bansal Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 13.02.2015**.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 01.12.2018 (Annexure P-10) and

the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the legal notice dated 01.12.2018 (Annexure P-10), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 01.12.2018 (Annexure P-10) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to her within three months thereafter.

May 28, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No